

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3

4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5

6 CESAR E. ROMERO-MANZO,
7

v.
8

TIMOTHY GARRETT,
9

10 Respondents.
11

Case No. 3:22-cv-00475-ART-CLB
12

13 ORDER
14

15 Following upon the entry of appearance (ECF No. 13) by the Federal Public
16 Defender,
17

18 It is ordered that the Federal Public Defender, through Ron Sung, Esq., is
19 appointed as counsel for Petitioner Cesar E. Romero-Manzo pursuant to 18
20 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). Counsel will represent Petitioner in all federal
21 proceedings related to this matter, including any appeals or certiorari
22 proceedings, unless allowed to withdraw.
23

24 It is further ordered that Petitioner shall have until up to and including 90
25 days from entry of this order within which to file an amended petition and/or
26 seek other appropriate relief. Neither the foregoing deadline nor any extension
27 thereof signifies or will signify any implied finding as to the expiration of the
28 federal limitation period and/or of a basis for tolling during the time period
established. Petitioner remains responsible for calculating the running of the
federal limitation period and timely asserting claims, without regard to any
deadlines established or extensions granted herein. That is, by setting a deadline
to amend the petition and/or by granting any extension thereof, the Court makes
no finding or representation that the petition, any amendments thereto, and/or
any claims contained therein are not subject to dismissal as untimely. *See Sossa*
v. Diaz, 729 F.3d 1225, 1235 (9th Cir. 2013).

29 It is further ordered that Respondents shall file a response to the amended
30

1 petition, including potentially by motion to dismiss, within 60 days of service of
2 an amended petition and that Petitioner may file a reply thereto within 30 days
3 of service of the answer. The response and reply time to any motion filed by either
4 party, including a motion filed in lieu of a pleading, shall be governed instead by
5 Local Rule LR 7-2(b).

6 It is further ordered that any procedural defenses raised by Respondents
7 to the counseled amended petition shall be raised together in a single
8 consolidated motion to dismiss. In other words, the Court does not wish to
9 address any procedural defenses raised herein either in seriatum fashion in
10 multiple successive motions to dismiss or embedded in the answer. Procedural
11 defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will be subject to potential
12 waiver. Respondents shall not file a response in this case that consolidates their
13 procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except pursuant
14 to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If
15 Respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they
16 shall do so within the single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they
17 shall specifically direct their argument to the standard for dismissal under §
18 2254(b)(2) set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In
19 short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion, shall be included with the
20 merits in an answer. All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, instead must
21 be raised by motion to dismiss.

22 It is further ordered that, in any answer filed on the merits, Respondents
23 shall specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision
24 and state court record materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response
25 as to that claim.

26 It is further ordered that any state court record and related exhibits filed
27 herein by either Petitioner or Respondents shall be filed with a separate index of
28 exhibits identifying the exhibits by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are

1 filed further shall be identified by the number or numbers of the exhibits in the
2 attachment. If the exhibits filed will span more than one ECF Number in the
3 record, the first document under each successive ECF Number shall be either
4 another copy of the index, a volume cover page, or some other document serving
5 as a filler, so that each exhibit under the ECF Number thereafter will be listed
6 under an attachment number (i.e., Attachment 1, 2, etc.).

7 It is further ordered that the hard copy of any exhibits filed by either
8 counsel shall be delivered—for this case—to the Reno Clerk's Office. Courtesy
9 copies of exhibits shall not be provided.

10 DATED THIS 13th day of January 2023.

11 
12

13 ANNE R. TRAUM
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28